

Uncertainties in impact assessment of policies or external drivers on agricultural markets



the case of the Russian crisis

Measuring agriculture and rural planning with advanced methods Impact evaluation of policies and projects for agricultural and rural development 31 August 2015, Italian Pavilion, EXPO 2015, Milan

> Fabien Santini European Commission Joint Research Centre - IPTS

> > Joint Research Centre



- Background : the JRC and and ex ante policy impact assessments
- Baseline versus counterfactual scenarios
 - Russian economic crisis
 - Russian ban
- Uncertainties / Stochastic analysis
- Conclusions



JRC and ex ante policy impact assessment



DG JRC Role: facts & figures

- Independent of private, commercial or national interests
- has no policy agenda of its own
- Focus on the priorities of the Commission
- Work for more than 20 policy DGs
- Expertise in a **wide range of areas** from economic analysis through to agriculture and food security, energy, environment etc.
- Provides support throughout the whole policy cycle
- Transversal service cuts across policy silos

- 7 institutes in 6 locations
- Around 3000 staff, including PhDs and visiting scientists
- More than 100 economical, bio-physical and nuclear models



JRC and ex ante policy impact assessment



iMAP platform for agricultural policies

- Agro-Economic Modelling Platform
- hosted by JRC-IPTS in cooperation with DG AGRI
- widely used, robust and scientifically acknowledged tools
- partial-equilibrium (PE) and general equilibrium (CGE) models; links to biophysical models
- Also used for support to DG DEVCO and DG SANTE

Integrated Modelling Platform

MODELS

- AGLINK-COSIMO (EU module of OECD-FAO model)
- CAPRI (highly disaggregated in regions and products)
- AGMEMOD (EU Member States)
- MAGNET or GLOBE (multiregional, multi-sector CGE model)
- IFM-CAP (Farm model)
- Etc.





Baseline

- Annual release (EU in December as contribution to World (OECD-FAO) in June N+1)
- Assumptions
 - macroeconomic environment assumptions (IHS) : GDP, inflation, exchange rates, population, energy prices
 - normal weather conditions > yield trends
 - policy (CAP, Trade, RED, CC, ..) as decided (no anticipation)
- One solution
- Calibration of other models







Scenario analysis

- Alternative deterministic scenarios
 - alternative policy, macroeconomic environment and/or yield pattern, etc.
 - affecting exogenous variables and/or equations/parameters
- One solution
- Examples concerning Russia:
 - different macroeconomic conditions in Russia
 - ban on the import from EU, US, Canada etc.







Scenario - economic downturn in Russia

Temporary recession in Russia and depreciation of the Ruble

Growth rate in Russia (%)









Centre



Consumption in Russia (% diff)

Russia trade (% diff)



Reduced consumption in Russia is mostly compensated by reduced imports and (some) increased exports; impact on world prices moderated

World prices (% diff)





Scenario – Russian ban

Exports of certain goods to Russia interrupted -> complex adjustments

- Decreased imports from Russia
- Lower world prices
- Increased consumption out of Russia
- Reallocation of commercial flows (intra and extra)
- Three modelling exercises: Aglink, Capri, Magnet
- Main impact for the EU : dairy products and fruit&vegetables



Price Quantity

	EU exports to Russia	Total EU exports	Total EU imports
FRUITS and VEGETABLES.			
Tomatoes	-161.8	-159.6	-78.7
Other vegetables	-528.0	-512.6	-32.7
Apples, pears and peaches	-972.8	-868.8	-676.8
Citrus fruits	-127.2	-110.6	-102.1
Other fruits	-485.9	-449.3	-186.8
MEATS			
Beef	-34.5	-21.7	-0.6
Pig meat	0*	19.4	0.7
Poultry meat	-58.0	0.4	-6.8
DAIRY PRODUCTS			
Butter	-38.5	-28.1	1.8
Skimmed milk powder	-20.9	-8.3	-1.5
Cheese	-278.8	-260.7	-1.9
Fresh milk products	-35.1	-29.6	-1.1
Whey powder	-24.4	-15.9	-2.4

Joint Research Centre



The reality is unfortunately never fitting to scenario assumptions

Growth rate in Russia (%)

USD/Ruble rate







Partial Stochastic Analysis

- Partial stochastic (probabilistic) analysis (of about 40 macroeconomic and 70 yield variables) – 600 solutions
- Macroeconomic uncertainty (GDP index, GDP deflator, CPI, exchange rate, oil price)
 - Based on forecast error
- Yield uncertainty for crops (cereals, oilseeds, sugar beet and cane) and milk
 - Based on deviations to an OLS regression
- Part only or all the variables concerned can be treated stochastically



JRC IPTS Reference

report: A. Burrell, Z.

Nii-Naate (2013)

FRFNCF





Partial Stochastic Analysis

- Stochastic model is run 600 times, of which more than 85% solve
- Two types of analysis:
 - Uncertainty in general measured with the interdecile range (ie between 10th and 90th percentiles) expressed in % of the baseline value (for a specific year or as an average over the projection period)
 - Subsets: isolation of solutions of the model where one or several variables are contained within determined boundaries





Would a stochastic subset be closer than a deterministic scenario?

- 0-30th percentile for GDPI 2015-2016
- 25-75th percentile for GDPI 2019-2024
- 75-100th percentile Ruble exchange rate
- 15 draws
- Slightly closer to the most recent macroeconomic projections





- For dairy products, confirmation that the contraction of the Russian market (less growth, devaluated ruble) affects the world markets
- For other markets, uncertainties in the rest of the world might counterbalance the situation



Conclusions



- Scenario analysis and probabilistic / stochastic analysis complement themselves
- Uncertainty analysis should be improved, relying on longer time series and better capturing the relationship between the different variables at stake
- There will always be 'black swans'







Joint Research

Thank you for your attention

Joint Research Centre

Serving society Stimulating innovation Supporting legislation