Hybrid Data Assimilation - Machine Learning for Model Error Estimation and Correction: application to the ECMWF IFS model

> Marcin Chrust[†], Alban Farchi[‡], Massimo Bonavita[†], Patrick Laloyaux[†], and Marc Bocquet[‡]

[†] ECMWF, Shinfield Park, Reading, United Kingdom [‡] CEREA, joint laboratory École des Ponts ParisTech and EDF R&D, Île-de-France, France

18 October 2023

Image: A matrix and a matrix

2 Applying the model error correction in the IFS

- Online model error formulation
- Deterministic analysis experiment
- Ensemble prediction system experiment
- Extended-range ensemble prediction system experiment

Future directions

- Limitations of out approach
- Outlook

A B > 4
 B > 4
 B

2 Applying the model error correction in the IFS

- Online model error formulation
- Deterministic analysis experiment
- Ensemble prediction system experiment
- Extended-range ensemble prediction system experiment

Future directions

- Limitations of out approach
- Outlook

Image: A math the second se

Motivation

Scores of forecasts of upper-air parameters by AIFS - experimental machine learning model



Last year has seen a rapid emergence of data driven ML forecast emulators.

- Some data-driven forecast emulators out-compete IFS in the headline scores.
- But they come with a set of deficiencies (see Massimo's talk).

Our aim

A B A B
 A B
 A B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A

Improve the forecast skill (match the headline scores of ML forecast emulators) while retaining the advantages of a **physics based** weather forecast model.

Figure: Z500 NHem Extratropics 2022 JJA anomaly correlation. AIFS vs HRES IFS.

2 Applying the model error correction in the IFS

- Online model error formulation
- Deterministic analysis experiment
- Ensemble prediction system experiment
- Extended-range ensemble prediction system experiment

Future directions

- Limitations of out approach
- Outlook

Image: A math a math

Weak-constraint 4D-Var: a neural network formulation

• We consider the hybrid model error formulation of Farchi et al. (2023), where the dynamical model is parameterised by a set of parameters p:

$$\mathsf{x}_{k+1} = \mathcal{M}_{k+1:k}^{\mathsf{nn}}\left(\mathsf{p},\mathsf{x}_{k}\right) = \mathcal{M}_{k+1:k}\left(\mathsf{x}_{k}\right) + \mathcal{F}\left(\mathsf{p},\mathsf{x}_{k}\right),$$

• The non-linear 4D-Var cost function is

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}^{nn}\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathsf{x}_{0}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathsf{x}_{0} - \mathsf{x}_{0}^{\mathsf{b}} \right\|_{\mathsf{B}^{-1}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathsf{p} - \mathsf{p}^{\mathsf{b}} \right\|_{\mathsf{P}^{-1}}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{L} \left\| \mathsf{y}_{k} - \mathcal{H}_{k} \circ \mathcal{M}_{k:0}^{\mathsf{nn}}\left(\mathsf{p}, \mathsf{x}_{0}\right) \right\|_{\mathsf{R}_{k}^{-1}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

- This approach can be seen as a neural network formulation of weak-constraint 4D-Var where p is the set of parameters (weights and biases) of a neural network.
- The cost function $\mathcal{J}^{nn}\left(p,x_{0}\right)$ is minimised following the standard incremental 4D-Var formulation.

Image: A math the second se

Column based NN for T, LNSP, VO, D

Architecture:

- Dense Neural Network
- 5 hidden layers
- Activation functions: tanh
- $\bullet \ \sim 10^6 \ \text{parameters}$

Inputs:

- Sine and Cosine of: day, hour, latitude, longitude
- T_{AN}^{T+0h} , $LNSP_{AN}^{T+0h}$, VO_{AN}^{T+0h} , D_{AN}^{T+0h}

Outputs:

• T_{INCR}^{T+12h} , $LNSP_{INCR}^{T+12h}$, VO_{INCR}^{T+12h} , D_{INCR}^{T+12h}

Training:

01/01/2017 to 10/10/2020



Figure: Relative MSE (normalised by the MSE of the zero prediction) over validation data.

• The NN predicts approx 20% of the analysis increments.

Image: A math a math

Summer increments are more predictable.

Impact of a NN model error correction (trained offline) in the medium range forecast

Small (1 - 2%) improvements for most variables when verified both against operational analysis and observations.

		abam	alam	angles.	EU1021	MI	Lanar	1,000	a Josh	austina	antih
		I many sea	Phoat (1849	clearly and	smaan/ sour	(must) shat	many sea	Phone Distance	canady and	shaat, sear	Phone (Sector
M.C.	15	_	-		_	_					_
	<u>.</u>	_	-		_	_	_	-		-	-
					_	_	_		-		
							-				
							-		-		
1.00											-0000
100					-						
	20		0.0000							100110	
		_			_						
		dillip									
	150		d mutter								
		1111			1110		11111				
	890	(III)	0.000	000	····· @ ·····		dimme	Q		dimp	
	188			C (1110)				an anns as		0000	0.00
8			an		11000	0.00		1111111111	20 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	anne	amme
1	-2	and the second second	annull)		- million	and and	- and the	o outside	- maining		- und D
	20	anno a						an ann	- co cuure		
	-	0	and mining	III 111111	1022011111	0.00000000	(dilinity)	dinin		111111E-1	0.0000-0-0
	130	dillip	10.000000000	- annun -	dillo	0.0.10	dimin		000-0	••••••	
	150	00000	00000			-0000	0000000000		80		
	-	-01100	0.04330.0				102011111	0	0.0.0		
	890	0.00	0	-000		0-00-0-					
	1209					a		4			
38			101001110	0000		0		0	0		
	290	and and the	0-0111D-	BHD () · · · · ·	·	-000 0	-dillo di	3	·D····O··		-0000-0-0-
	199				100						
20194			0.00.000			2		0			
100			0-0-00					00			
100		00	0 000 0								
982	**		CITED 1				0.000000				0.000
	20		0							_	0
	20										
	130	dillip + c			0000000				CITERD	diffe	3330
	190	dinp	0				dillo		@		0.00.0
	200										
	-	-0120	IID		THE OWNER		-000		0	10	1011D
	15						D - 1111111		ID		000 00 - I
	20			1111111					0.000		
	10	11111111		- diffilling			0.000				0.0000000
	130			10000-						0.0	anne a
	150		1000101				100011-011				
	100	dillo		- CITERINE			dillip				
	800				-		Dommer .				- anno -
	100										
x					1.0110		D-GTITTE-1		HIIIID		
100	15								0.0000		
	30			10	10001111						
	10	-dilitio		CIIIIII			010-010-0		- CD - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		
	150			dillino	0		aman		00000000		
	159	10000					11000101				
	100	diff					10 IID 111		000000000		
	850									0	
XR		-0000-00	10000							00110111	
114	260	dimment of the local dimension of the local d			CIID ID 1		ann an				0.0000000
	790										
24		D		• • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	œ		10000011		1.00		
N		000000000000000000000000000000000000000			1011011		(CEED))D				(III)
		0.000	0				D		0110.0001	D	0
sah.			1001011	11111111111				00			



z|500|n.hem|ccaf|an

Figure: Score card 2022/06/03 to 2022/08/31. 12H assimilation window with NN model error correction pre-trained offline.

Figure: Z500 NH anomaly correlation. 2022/06/03 to 2022/08/31. 12H assimilation window with NN model error correction pre-trained offline.

Chrust et al.

Impact of online training

Training the NN parameters inside 4D-Var results in further forecast skill improvements for most variables.



Figure: Score card 2022/06/03 to 2022/08/31. 12H assimilation window with NN model error correction trained **online**.



Figure: Z500 NH anomaly correlation. 2022/06/03 to 2022/08/31. 12H assimilation window with NN model error correction trained **online**.

A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

C	hruet		- L
-	in uac	~	

Correcting model error in ensemble prediction system



Figure: Effect of offline model bias post-processing on fCRPS scores. Methodology: mean error is computed over all forecasts at required lead times and subsequently subtracted from the forecast fields before computing the scores. Impact of offline model bias post-processing on fair CRPS scores:

- 2020/12/02-2021/02/28, 47R3 operational ENS.
- Large part of the forecast error in the Tropics is due to model bias.
- 1 2% fCRPS improvement for Z500 NH beyond day 3.

Small (1 - 2%) improvements for most variables when verified both against operational analysis and observations.



Figure: Score card 2022/06/03 to 2022/08/31. NN model error correction (trained offline) applied in forecasts (12h frequency).

z|500|n.hem|ccaf|ob



Figure: Z500 NH anomaly correlation. 2022/06/03 to 2022/08/31. NN model error correction (trained offline) applied in forecasts (12h frequency).

A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Extended Range Ensemble Re-forecast experiment with model error correction

- Resolution: 36 km atmosphere/land, 25km ocean/sea-ice.
- 30 days, 10+1 members, 28 years: 1989-2017, forecasts initialised on the 1st of each month.
- Neural Network model error correction (trained offline) applied with an hourly update throughout the whole forecast.

Operational Extended Range Ensemble:

- Resolution: 36 km atmosphere/land, 25km ocean/sea-ice.
- Real-time: 46 days, 100+1 members, once per day (00 UTC).
- Re-forecasts: 46 days, 10+1 members, twice per week, for previous 20 years.

Aim:

- Prediction of persistent, anomalous large scale patterns that themselves can lead to severe weather events.
- Capture large-scale circulation patterns that typically last longer than about a week, and roughly indicate the timing of a change from one circulation type to another.

A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Correcting model error in the extended-range configuration

ΔRMSE (%): i5et vs i4px					19890101-20161201																
NHEM				TROPICS				SHEM					NATL			EUROPE					
Lead (day	ni) :	5-11	12-18	19-25	26-32	5-11	12-18	19-25	26-32	5-11	12-18	19-25	26-32	5-1	1 12-	18 19-2	5 26-32	5-11	12-18	19-25	26-32
tpra	te																				
	2t												v							v	•
m	ISI																		W	•	•
u85	50	÷						٧	•											v	*
v85	50			۳																V	
185	50				*														۳	v	•
u50	00		•					1.1			v				v				v		
v50	00			٠												×			٠	v	
150	00	•																			•
250	00	۰.	•						*		v										•
u20	00	•							*			٠							•		•
v20	00	•				+			*							×					
t20	00								٠				. •								
strf20	00	•							•									1.1	٧	v	•
vp20	00	۳						Ψ.			٧	×	•					•	*		
rws20	00	۰.															*				
U5	50	•	•			•		V			*										
v:	50														v					×	w.
15	50	•		٠		•	•		•										۳		
5	st	٠.																			
	ci	•											•				1			۷	
	A A Increases			Decrea	5P																
ref= (max=	ref=1 (max=10) Sig. increase (95%)		Ť	Sig. der	rease (S	(5%)															



- Small positive impact on most variables at all lead times.
- Mixed impact on prediction of weather regimes.

18 October 2023



13 / 19

Chrust et al.

Hybrid Data Assimilation

2 Applying the model error correction in the IFS

- Online model error formulation
- Deterministic analysis experiment
- Ensemble prediction system experiment
- Extended-range ensemble prediction system experiment

Future directions

- Limitations of out approach
- Outlook

Image: A math a math

Limitations of training on 12h analysis increments

- The improvements in the deterministic, ensemble and extended range experiments are of the oreder of 1 2%.
- Analysis increments (12h forecast "errors") are influenced by analysis and observation systematic errors beyond model error.



Idea

Attempt to optimise the model error correction such that the forecast errors are minimised over a longer lead time in the spirit of auto-regressive training of ML forecast emulators.

Figure: Model error tendencies. $(FC_{step} - AN_{step})/step$, averaged over 5 samples.

Exploring the effect of extending the DA window

Impact of online model error training with a 24h DA window.





Figure: Score card 2022/06/03 to 2022/07/28. 24H assimilation window with offline NN model error correction.

Figure: Score card 2022/06/03 to 2022/07/28. 24H assimilation window with **online** NN model error correction.

Chrust et al.

18 October 2023

Exploring the effect of extending the DA window

Impact of online model error training with a 24h DA window.







Figure: Z500 NH anomaly correlation. 2022/06/03 to 2022/07/28. 24H assimilation window with **online** NN model error correction.

A D > <
 A P >
 A

Outlook

B.)

A.) Learn analysis increment and NN model error parameters togeather

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}^{\mathbf{nn}}\left(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{L} \left\|\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathcal{H}_{k} \circ \mathcal{M}_{k:0}^{\mathbf{nn}}\left(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{R}_{k}^{-1}}^{2} \,. \end{split}$$

Learn NN model error parameters from observations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{nn}\left(\mathbf{p}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{p}-1}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{L} \left\|\mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathcal{H}_{k} \circ \mathcal{M}_{k:0}^{nn}\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}_{REF}^{AN}\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{R}_{k}^{-1}}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

C.) Learn NN model error parameters from reference analysis

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}^{nn}\left(\mathbf{p}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{b}}\right\|_{\mathbf{p}-1}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left\|\mathbf{x}_{REF,k}^{AN} - \mathcal{M}_{k:0}^{nn}\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}_{REF,0}^{AN}\right)\right\|_{\mathbf{W}_{k}^{-1}}^{2} \,. \end{split}$$

Ways forward:

- Ad A.) Apply the NN trained online in a 24h window experiment in the standard 12h window configuration;
- Ad B.) Train the model error correction from observations
 - can be achieved using the existing infrastructure. Can we extend the optimization window beyond 24h?
- Ad C.) Train the model error using a reference analysis requires development but would allow optimising the model error correction over longer forecast lead times.

(日) (同) (日) (日)

Thank you!

2

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト